





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,202	11/13/2001	Srinivas Gutta	US010572	US010572 2934 EXAMINER	
24737	7590 06/15/	06	EXAM		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			LASTRA,	LASTRA, DANIEL	
P.O. BOX 3 BRIARCLI	001 FF MANOR, NY 1	510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Did nob		, 	3622		
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	10/014,202 Examiner	GUTTA, SRINIVAS Art Unit	
Amendment (37 GFK 1.121)	DANIEL LASTRA	3622	
Th MAILING DATE f this communication appe			ldress
The amendment document filed on <u>04/21/2006</u> is considerequirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the ametem(s) is required.			
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE A 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include B. New paragraph(s) should not be under C. Other	markings.	BE NON-COMPL	IANT:
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37B. Other	CFR 1.72.		
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing amended figures, without man C. Other 	FR 1.121(d). awing correction has been elim	nated. Replaceme	ent drawings
 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is B. The listing of claims does not include the C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. No number by using one of the following sequence (Previously presented), (New), (Not end) D. The claims of this amendment paper heads E. Other: See Continuation Sheet 	ne text of all pending claims (ind the proper status identifier, and te: the status of every claim mu tatus identifiers: (Original), (Cur tered), (Withdrawn) and (Withd	I as such, the indiv ist be indicated aft rently amended), (awn-currently ame	vidual status er its claim (Canceled), ended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or no	ot signed in accordance with 37	CFR 1.4):	
For further explanation of the amendment format require	d by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP	§ 714.	
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTIC	E:		
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-cor filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 	npliant amendment is an after-f the non-compliant after-final an	inal amendment or nendment with cor	an amendment rections, the
2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, who correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of (including a submission for a request for continued examendment filed within a suspension period under 3' Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are chemon-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CF	the following: a preliminary am xamination (RCE) under 37 CFI 7 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an a cked, the correction required is	endment, a non-fir R 1.114), a supplei mendment filed in	nal amendment mental response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1 amendment or an amendment filed in response to	l.136(a) <u>only</u> if the non-complian a Q <i>uayle</i> action.	nt amendment is a	non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result Aband nment of the application if the non-confiled in response to a Quayle action; or N n-entry of the amendment if the non-compliamendment.	npliant amendment is a non-fina		
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telepho	one No.	

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Claim 14 recites "(Currently amended)" but the Examiner did not find anything amended in said claim, therefore, making the claim non compliant. Applicant's amended claim 1 seems to indicate that the word "tangible" was strike-through, but the Examiner does not know if it is a copy error as said term was not strike-through from the other amended claims and the Applicant did not mention in his argument that said term was eliminated.